and emotional behavioral consequences between HS and HFHS diets.
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GE11 positive exosomes as a potential RNAi delivery system in clear cell Renal Cell Carcinoma
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Aim: Use GE11 positive (GE11+) exosomes as a targeted delivery system to EGFR overexpressing cells for the treatment of clear cell Renal Cell Carcinoma (cRCC).

Introduction: cRCC is the most prevalent subtype of renal cancer and the most lethal urologic tumor. Generally, it is radiochemotherapy resistance, and frequently associated with relapse after 5–11 months upon targeted therapy treatment, which highlight the need to develop new therapeutic strategies. Exosomes, extracellular vesicles of 40–150 nm that mediate intercellular communication, have emerged as promising therapeutic tools due to their engineering potential and ability to evade the immune system.

Methods: EGFR is known to be overexpressed in cRCC thus, the expression of GE11, a peptide that binds to EGFR, in exosomes membrane enable a targeted delivery of therapeutic molecules to EGFR overexpressing cells. Exosomes derived from HEK293T were engineered to express the GE11 peptide on their surface and incubated with normal or tumor renal cell lines.

Results: Our results revealed EGFR overexpression at the mRNA and protein levels in a cRCC cell line, compared to a normal renal cell line. Furthermore, tumor cells presented increased protein levels of phosphorylated EGFR when compared to normal cells. These results support the hypothesis of using an EGFR-based exosomes delivery model, the GE11+ exosomes. A higher percentage of tumor cells internalized GE11+ exosomes compared to exosomes derived from HEK293T cells transfected with control condition. Additionally, tumor cells exhibited an increased mean of fluorescence intensity compared to the control, suggesting that each cell uptakes more GE11+ exosomes in an EGFR-dependent manner. Importantly, GE11+ exosomes were internalized in a greater proportion by tumor cells rather than normal renal cell lines.

Conclusion: Overall, the use of GE11+ exosomes as a new delivery system is a promising therapeutic strategy for cRCC treatment. Ultimately, these exosomes can be loaded with RNAi-based drugs to target deregulated genes in cRCC.
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Aim: We aimed to assess the prognostic value of using a cumulative score evaluating the expression of Androgen Receptor (AR) and the presence tumour inflammatory infiltrate as a prognostic marker for prostate cancer (PCa).

Introduction: PCa is the most common male cancer, in Europe. Currently, at diagnosis, only tumour-based factors, including clinical stage, tumour grade and circulating concentrations of Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) are used to predict PCa outcome. However, this can vary within patients sharing the same clinical conditions, leading to patient’s over/under treatment. It is now recognized that cancer progression is also dependent on tumour’s interaction with its microenvironment, specifically with immune cells. Therefore, the development of predictive biomarkers, capable of combining these two factors should be considered.

Methods: Immunohistochemistry for AR expression and CD3 T-cells was performed on biopsies from a cohort of 361 patients diagnosed with PCA. Semi-quantitative weighted histoscore and quantitative assessments were used.

Results: High cytoplasmic AR expression in tumour cells and high CD3 T-cells presence were associated with reduced overall survival (p = 0.000055, and p = 0.004, respectively), with strong association (p = 0.001) on X2 analysis. When patients were grouped as having both markers low or one low and low/moderate and one high, and both high, this cumulative prognostic score was strongly associated with overall survival (p = 0.00001), being the mean overall survivals: 7.1 years (95% CI 6.5–7.6), 6.0 years (95% CI 5.4–6.6) and 3.8 years (95% CI 2.4–5.0), respectively. Moreover, on multivariate analysis, it was considered a significant independent predictor of overall survival (HR 1.982, 95% CI 1.018–3.859, p = 0.044).

Conclusion: These results confirm the clinical utility of assessing both tumour and microenvironment characteristics when predicting patients’ outcome, and suggest that the presence
of high cytoplasmic AR expression in tumour cells and CD3 T-cells predicts poor outcome for patients diagnosed with PCa.
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ALDHs as potential biomarkers in myeloid neoplasms – Preliminary study
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Aim: The aim of the study is to evaluate the expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) to verify their potential as a marker for the diagnosis and/or prognosis of these diseases.

Introduction: ALDH superfamily is a group of 19 enzymes critical to the protection against toxic aldehydes, and have been associated with multiple diseases, namely in cancer. MDS are characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis associated with progressive peripheral blood cytopenias, and a predisposition toward leukemic transformation. MDS pathophysiology is a complex multistep process that involves genetic and epigenetic abnormalities in genes associated with differentiation, cellular proliferation, and apoptosis. Since ALDHs are involved in some of these biological processes, the deregulation of these enzymes may influence MDS and AML development.

Methods: To this end, we analyzed the expression levels of 8 ALDH isoforms, ALDH1A1, ALDH1A2, ALDH1B1, ALDH1L1, ALDH1L2, ALDH3A2, ALDH4A1, and ALDH16A1, in 31 patients (16 MDS and 15 LMA) and 19 healthy controls. ALDH expression levels were analyzed using RT-PCR and differentially expressed genes were quantified by qPCR. The statistical analysis was carried out by variance analysis and χ² test. Survival were analyzed by Kaplan Meier curves (p < 0.05).

Results: Preliminary results indicate that all MDS patients express ALDH16A1 isoform whereas only 67% of controls (p < 0.05) show expression of this isoform. Moreover, AML patients have lower ALDH1A2 expression levels than MDS and controls and only 20% of AML patients express this isoform (MDS = 54% and controls = 55%). The ALDH1L2 is only expressed in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia subtype of MDS. Furthermore, the expression of ALDH isoforms does not appear to influence patient overall survival.

Conclusion: According to these results, ALDH isoforms have differential expression patterns in MDS and AML patients when compared with controls and each other. Further studies are needed to prove their potential as a diagnostic/prognostic biomarkers.
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Aim: To understand the mechanisms of centrosome amplification and their therapeutic value in cancer.

Introduction: Centrosomes are the major microtubule-organizing centres of animal cells. Centrosome amplification (CA) – the presence of more than two centrosomes in a cell – is a common feature in cancer 1 and was recently shown to be sufficient to drive tumourigenesis. 2 Recent work from the Bettencourt-Dias Lab has identified a new recurrent feature of cancer cells: centriole over-elongation, which also promotes CA. However, origins of those abnormalities and their therapeutic value remain poorly understood.

Methods: We have screened the NCI-60 panel of human cancer cell lines 3 for centriole number and individual length to test their frequency and interdependence. We have thereby also generated a metric capturing each abnormality level per cell line that we then correlated with the publicly available molecular (e.g. genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic) and drug-sensitivity quantitative profiles for that panel.

Results: Our single-centriole analyses showed that longer centrioles are more common in cells with CA and that cells do not control their overall centriolar mass when the centriole number increases. Moreover, cancer cell lines with longer centrioles proliferate slower due to an accumulation of cells in G1 phase, suggesting that centriole length defects could lead to a cell cycle delay in G1. In addition, our original genome-wide approach highlighted putative mechanisms associated with susceptibility to both abnormalities, such as the proteasome protecting cells from CA. Correlation with drug activity identified some compounds as potential therapeutic options to selectively target cells with higher incidence of centriole abnormalities.

Conclusion: This work provides the first single-centriole-level portrait of centriole abnormalities in cancer and contributes to the understanding of their molecular origins, namely by revealing novel molecular mechanisms in cell cycle biology. Given the cancerspecificity of these abnormalities, the identified compounds will inspire the development of drugs to selectively target cancer cells.
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